

MFS Insights: It's the second mouse that gets the cheese

SEPTEMBER 2021

By Rob Almeida, Global Investment Strategist of MFS Investment Management

Please note: this piece is based on the work of MFS Investment Management; a U.S. based sub-advisor of Sun Life Global Investments, and therefore is written from a U.S. perspective

In brief

- The markets are awash in crosscurrents, so it's critical to focus on what's material and filter out market noise.
- Profits are a function of revenues versus costs, and we think that revenue growth is vulnerable and costs are likely to rise.
- We expect high-priced financial assets that deliver underwhelming fundamental performance to be repriced while stocks and bonds of companies that meet expectations may be in short supply, earning them a scarcity premium.

There are always crosscurrents in financial markets, but today they seem particularly strong. A few cases in point: We're seeing massive strength in corporate profits while economic growth decelerates. Demand for both goods and labor is outstripping supply, yet developed market sovereign bond yields imply a very tepid economic backdrop. And investor demand for risky assets is at multidecade highs, yet the cost of insurance is unusually elevated as a fair number of investors hedge their portfolios.

When things get complicated, I find it helpful to try to simplify them. In its simplest form, investing is an exchange of capital for a stream of future cash flows. The cost of capital is set by its providers based on the riskiness of the investment and the probability of realizing promised cash flows. What makes investing difficult, of course, is that the future is unknowable. Investors can only theorize about a project's potential for success or failure in terms of a range of potential outcomes.

Is investing more difficult today?

Technology has democratized information, and society is more informed than ever before. We have infinite and instantaneous access to news. However, I question whether that has improved investors' ability to price risk. As John Naisbitt writes in Megatrends, "We are drowning in information but starved for knowledge."

While an economic or political headline may be newsworthy and important, it's often irrelevant to the long-term health of a particular company and its cash flow stream. For instance, are the effects of a central bank reducing its current pace of asset purchases really material to the five- or 10-year profit outlook of a global hotel operator? Or will the prolonged suppression of a country's risk-free rate save an uncompetitive brick and mortar retailer from the growing threat of e-commerce?

Deciding what matters and what doesn't has gotten a lot harder. In my view, successful long-term investors have the ability to distinguish meaningful information from market noise. To be clear, reaction time to a data point is not a differentiator. The differentiator is the ability to disregard what isn't material and incorporate what is. If after careful consideration new information is seen as altering the most probable profit path, then action is warranted.

Where are we now?

Given the number crosscurrents and the level of noise today, a simple review of how we got here might clarify the picture.

- In response to the 2020 cash crisis caused by the lockdown, companies eliminated nonessential costs.
- When credit markets thawed after extraordinary central bank interventions, corporate leverage and balance sheets exploded.
- Well into 2021, the combination of direct payments to consumers, pent-up demand, vaccines and economic reopenings catalyzed a step-function increase in worldwide corporate revenues.
- The convergence of depressed corporate expenses, higher financial leverage and massive revenue gains resulted in a near-doubling of global corporate profits. Margins are back to their pre-COVID heights, and profits have soared well beyond late-2019 peaks.
- Financial markets began to discount the profit explosion in mid-2020, and risk premia, the investment return an asset is expected to yield in excess of the risk-free rate of return, steadily declined to the historic lows we see today. Consequently, all that good news is in the price, which, in my view, means that the risks substantially outweigh the near-term rewards.

What's ahead?

Profits are a function of revenues versus costs, and in our view, revenue growth is vulnerable while costs are likely to rise.

We think revenue growth rates will decelerate as the current economic sugar high fades. But the market, which is like the smartest person in the room, already knows that. To us, the uncertainty is not over the direction of revenues for each company but the magnitude of the deceleration. Understanding which companies are the most likely to see the sharpest decline in revenue growth and which are the most likely to be resilient will be key.

In an inflationary period such as the one we have experienced over the past year, almost all companies have been able to raise prices, driving impressive revenue growth. But that isn't pricing power. It's simply a step that must be taken to keep up with rising costs. These days everyone is raising prices, and consumers have an easier time accepting them psychologically in large measure because they have extra cash in their pockets as a result of the policy response to the pandemic. And that makes it harder for investors to distinguish between companies that are taking advantage of cyclical forces and those that can command higher prices due to high levels of demand for products or services that their customers find superior and are willing to pay for.

In other words, markets become less efficient in times like these. What investors ultimately care about, the true free cash flow growth differential, is only learned after the fact. Markets become volatile when forced to correct for faulty cash flow projections. Today, investors face the significant risk of owning financial assets where cash flow growth proves illusory because their recent profit strength was stimulus-driven rather than something more durable.

Cost considerations

Regarding costs, we're thinking about them two ways.

Any costs that weren't "nailed down" during the crisis were quickly ripped out by CFOs. While post-pandemic life may be further off than we'd like, most expenses will return, hitting income statements. But how quickly will these legacy costs come back online?

Costs related to environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues are another consideration. For years, less-competitive companies were able to disguise below-average operating margins and cash flows with unsustainable practices carried out at the expense of various stakeholders. But those days are likely over. The game-changer isn't pressure from regulators or special interest groups. It's pressure from investors. Today's discretionary providers of capital are demanding change, and in some cases change will be expensive. Some companies will be able to shoulder the burden while others will find it difficult. In Europe, for example, many banks have recently pulled short-term funding from polluting utilities, a clear sign that the free ride is over. Given the level of corporate indebtedness today, ESG strikes me as an underappreciated material risk, particularly by corporate bond investors.

An underwhelming outlook

I'm not suggesting a bear market or correction is on the horizon. I don't have that type of clairvoyance, nor do my strategist peers (though some think they do!). Anyway, that's not how we think about investing.

Looking ahead, we expect high-priced financial assets that deliver underwhelming fundamental performance to be repriced. When valuations are high, the market's tolerance for disappointing data (even if the miss is small) is low. Conversely, stocks and bonds of companies that meet expectations may be in short supply and outperform by earning a scarcity premium.

Combined with the poor risk/reward tradeoff in many financial assets today, discretion has the potential to be worth more than it has in a very long time. It's a time for patient, skilled investors.

After all, as the saying goes, it's the second mouse that gets the cheese.

Important Information

MFS or MFS Investment Management refers to MFS Investment Management Canada Limited and MFS Institutional Advisors, Inc. This article was first published in the United States by MFS. in September 2020 and is distributed in Canada by SLGI Asset Management Inc., with permission. This document is provided for information purposes only and is not intended to provide specific financial, tax, insurance, investment, legal or accounting advice and should not be relied upon in that regard and does not constitute a specific offer to buy and/or sell securities.

Commissions, trailing commissions, management fees and expenses all may be associated with mutual fund investments. Please read the fund's prospectus. Mutual funds are not guaranteed, their values change frequently and past performance may not be repeated.

The views expressed in this commentary are those of the authors and are subject to change at any time. Views expressed regarding a particular company, security, industry or market sector should not be considered an indication of trading intent of any mutual funds managed by SLGI Asset Management Inc. or sub-advised by MFS. These views are not to be considered as investment advice nor should they be considered a recommendation to buy or sell. Information presented has been compiled from sources believed to be reliable, but no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made with respect to its timeliness or accuracy. This document may contain forward-looking statements about the economy and/or markets; their future performance, strategies or prospects. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance, are speculative in nature and cannot be relied upon. They involve inherent risks and uncertainties so it is possible that predictions, forecasts, and projections will not be achieved. A number of important factors could cause actual events or results to differ materially from those expressed or implied this document. The views expressed in this commentary are those of the authors and are subject to change at any time.

The MFS® logo is a trademark of The Massachusetts Financial Services Company and is used with permission.

Sun Life Global Investments is a trade name of SLGI Asset Management Inc., Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada and Sun Life Financial Trust Inc.

SLGI Asset Management Inc. is the investment manager of the Sun Life Mutual Funds, Sun Life Granite Managed Solutions and Sun Life Private Investment Pools.

© SLGI Asset Management Inc. and its licensors, 2021. SLGI Asset Management Inc., MFS are members of the Sun Life group of companies. All rights reserved.

Morningstar is an independent organization that groups investment funds with generally similar investment objectives for comparison purposes and ranks them on a historical basis.

Ratings and/or ranking information is subject to change monthly.

Morningstar Rating: The Morningstar Rating™ for funds, or "star rating", is calculated for managed products (including mutual funds, variable annuity and variable life subaccounts, exchange-traded funds, closed-end funds, and separate accounts) with at least a three-year history. Exchange-traded funds and open-ended mutual funds are considered a single population for comparative purposes. It is calculated based on a Morningstar Risk-Adjusted Return measure that accounts for variation in a managed product's monthly excess performance, placing more emphasis on downward variations and rewarding consistent performance. The top 10% of products in each product category receive 5 stars, the next 22.5% receive 4 stars, the next 35% receive 3 stars, the next 22.5% receive 2 stars, and the bottom 10% receive 1 star. The Overall Morningstar Rating for a managed product is derived from a weighted average of the performance figures associated with its three-, five-, and 10-year (if applicable) Morningstar Rating metrics. The weights are: 100% three-year rating for 36-59 months of total returns, 60% five-year rating/40% three-year rating for 60-119 months of total returns, and 50% 10-year rating/30% five-year rating/20% three-year rating for 120 or more months of total returns. While the 10-year overall star rating formula seems to give the most weight to the 10-year period, the most recent three-year period actually has the greatest impact because it is included in all three rating periods.

For greater detail, see morningstar.ca.

Morningstar Quartile Ranking: The quartiles divide the data into four equal regions. Expressed in terms of rank (1, 2, 3 or 4), the quartile measure shows how well a fund has performed compared to all other funds in its peer group. Peer groups are defined such that mutual funds are ranked only versus other mutual funds that are in the same category and segregated funds are ranked compared to other segregated funds in the same category. The top 25% of funds (or quarter) are in the first quartile, the next 25% of funds are in the second, and the next group is in the third quartile. The 25% of funds with the poorest performance are in the fourth quartile. The point at which half the funds had better performance and half had worse performance is the median.

© 2021 Morningstar Research Inc. All rights reserved. The information contained herein is proprietary to Morningstar and/or its content providers; may not be copied or distributed; and is not warranted to be accurate,

complete or timely. Neither Morningstar nor its content providers are responsible for any damages or losses arising from any use of this information. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.